

therein made, are true and correct.

Section 2. A Referendum was held in the area of York County, South Carolina, on November 4, 2003, at which time the following question was presented to the qualified electors of York County:

Must a special one percent sales and use tax be imposed or reimposed in York County for not more than seven (7) years to raise the amounts specified for the following purposes?

1. \$8,445,371.00 for multi-lane improvements to Mount Gallant Road from Anderson Road to Callowness Road.
2. \$6,773,009.00 for intersection improvements to the following intersections:
 - (a) Intersection of Highway 5 and Reservation Road;
 - (b) Intersection of Bird Street and University Road;
 - (c) Intersection of Highway 49 and Paraham Road;
 - (d) Intersection of Highway 55 and Rhyne Road;
 - (e) Intersection of Mount Gallant Road and Paraham Road;
 - (f) Intersection of Highway 321 and Ferguson/Ridge Roads;
 - (g) Intersection of Porter Road and Firetower Road;
 - (h) Intersection of Shiloh Road and Highway 5;
 - (I) Intersection of Highway 324 and Cameron Road;
 - (j) Rawlinson Road;
3. \$8,829,804.00 for multi-lane and corridor improvements to S.C. Highway 55 east of Clover.
4. \$15,197,270.00 for construction of a new Fort Mill southern by-pass.
5. \$22,364,444.00 for intersection and corridor improvements to Highways 49, 211, 97 and Nimitz Loop.
6. \$1,501,694.00 for construction of a Tega Cay/Gold Hill Road connector.
7. \$7,114,761.00 for the following intersections and corridor improvements to Highway 274 extension:
 - (a) Adnah Church/Hwy 161/Hwy 274
 - (b) Adnah Church/Hwy 5/Eastview Road
 - (c) Eastview Road/Falls Road
 - (d) Falls Road/Robertson Road
 - (e) Robertson Road/Neely Road

8. \$17,120,880.00 for multi-lane improvements to U.S. Highway 21 from Interstate 77 to Sutton Road.
9. \$4,212,088.00 for improvements and surfacing to gravel farm-to-market roads, Group 1.

Road Name	Road No.	Description
(a) Cheek Road	E2-057	US 321 and city subdivision
(b) Mountainview Road	F2-012	Baird Road to Highway 49
(c) Ferguson Long	G3-063	Concord to Highway 274
(d) Forestview Road	B2-010	Piedmont Road to Highway 55
(e) Ranger Road	C2-004	Highway 55 to Crossland Road
(f) Propst Road	C3-004	Beersheba Road to Cedar Grove
(g) Enon Church Road	C3-029	Highway 5 to Jenkins Road and Highway 55
(h) Mission Road	C4-004	Sutton Springs to Highway 49
(i) Shillinglaw Road	C4-007	Sutton Springs to Woodlawn
(j) Blanton Road	C6-008	Highway 49 to Highway 322
(k) Windy Hill Road	D3-005	Owens Road to Springlake Road
(l) Pamela Road	D4-034	Sutton Springs Road to Kelly Road
(m) Ashe Road	D5-003	Garvin Road to Sandifer Road
(n) Pioneer Road	E3-005	East Old Limestone to Highway 49
(o) Sikes Road	E5-039	Highway 324 to Carson Road
(p) Winter Road	H7-010	Neely's Creek to Hall Spencer
(q) Bookout Road	E6-024	Brattonville to Percival
(r) Armstrong Ford Road	E6-026	McConnells Highway
(s) Barronwood	F3-005	Allison/Old Eury to Highway 274

10. \$2,540,278.00 for multi-lane improvements and realignment of White Street and railroad crossing improvements.
11. \$7,614,058.00 for multi-lane safety improvements to McConnells Highway from Heckle By-pass to Highway 324.
12. \$6,747,598.00 for multi-lane improvements to Mount Gallant Road from Dave Lyle Boulevard to Anderson Road.
13. \$6,455,224.00, multi-lane improvements to S.C. Highway 557 from Kingsbury Road to Highway 49.
14. \$6,283,773.00 for multi-lane improvements to Ebinport Road from Cherry Road to India Hook Road.
15. \$2,545,895.00 for multi-lane improvements to S.C. Highway 160 from Tom Hall Road to the York County line.
16. \$1,165,896.00 for intersection and corridor improvements to Riverview Road from Eden Terrace to Highway 161.

17. \$6,771,360.00 for multi-lane improvements to Highway 72 from S.C. Highway 901 to Rambo Road.
18. \$4,201,505.00 to improve and surface gravel farm to market roads, Group 2.

Road Name	Road No.	Description
(a) Southbend Road	D3-008	Fairhope to Old Limestone
(b) Calabash Road	E2-024	Boyd Road to St. Paul Church Road
(c) Wilkerson Road	A5-003	Highway 211 to Highway 97
(d) Canaan Church Road	B2-004	Highway 55 to Highway 5
(e) Piedmont Springs	B2-006	Highway 55 to Highway 5
(f) Montview Road	B5-005	Wilson Chapel Road to Sherer Road
(g) Carroll Falls Road	C2-024	Highway 55 to Maynard Grayson
(h) Burns Road	C3-001	Burns/Hwy 5/McGill
(i) Turner Road	C3-024	Ramah Church to C.E. Stewart
(j) Traylor Road	C5-013	Hord Road to North Burris
(k) Beersheba Road	D2-035	Beersheba/Templeton to Greenleaf
(l) Frontier Road	D2-038	Agony Acres to Thomas Road
(m) James Harvey Road	D3-006	Mason Dickson To Highway 321
(n) Longleaf Road	D4-005	California Road to Highway 49
(o) California Road	D4-009	Kelly Road to Highway 49
(p) Garvin Road (part)	D6-015	Garvin Road to Simpson Road
(q) Arrow Road	E4-006	Highway 5 to Highway 5 Bypass
(r) Devinney Road	E4-008	Highway 5 to Highway 324
(s) Nivens Road	F4-104	Tirzah Road to S. Shiloh Road
(t) Durree Street	H6-078	Porter to Rock Grove
(u) South Fork Road	F6-021	Percival to Mobley Store
(v) Shady Oak Lane	J6-028	Neely Store to Hopewell
(w) Greenglen Road	E7-004	Hawley to W. Chappell
(x) Faires Road	F6-026	Mockingbird to Hwy 322

19. \$7,971,789.00, multi-lane improvements to Mount Gallant Road from Callowness Road to Twin Lakes Road.
20. \$8,551,007.00 for multi-lane improvements to S.C. Highway 274/279 (Pole Branch Road).
21. \$4,016,102.00 for multi-lane improvements to Ebenezer Road from Frank Gaston Road to Highway 161.
22. \$4,600,044.00 for multi-lane improvements to Springhill Farm Road.
23. \$5,904,345.00 for multi-lane improvements to S.C. Highway 51 from U.S. Highway 21 to the North Carolina line.
24. \$4,471,661.00 for multi-lane improvements to Eden Terrace from Bradley to Anderson Road.

25. \$1,600,144.00, multi-lane improvements to Highway 160 from Gold Hill Road to Zoar Road.

TOTAL ESTIMATED COST OF ALL CAPITAL PROJECTS: \$173,000,000.

YES _____

NO _____

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS.

All qualified electors desiring to vote in favor of imposing the tax for the stated purposes shall vote "YES" and all qualified electors opposed to levying the tax shall vote "NO".

The purpose of the Referendum is to reimpose an existing capital project sales and use tax for the capital projects listed above in order to permit the tax to be reinstated and continue without interruption.

CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF SALES AND USE TAX REVENUE COLLECTED UNDER THE CAPITAL PROJECT SALES TAX ACT:

The capital projects sales and use tax shall be used and expended for design, engineering, construction or improvement of the highways, roads, streets and/or bridges listed above. Net proceeds of the capital project sales and use tax, if approved, must be expended for the purposes stated in the priority listed above; provided that multiple projects may be funded simultaneously based on the formula or system contained in the ordinance adopted by the York County Council. The expenditure of revenues from the capital projects sales and use tax, if approved, shall be subject to acquisition of right-of-way, design and engineering considerations, environmental issues, the discovery of historic sites or endangered species, the receipt of necessary permits, funding of projects from other sources, bids in excess of project estimates, qualifications of bidders, cost overruns, qualifications of bidders, exhaustion or insufficiency of net sales and use tax revenues to complete all projects and other unforeseen circumstances and conditions.

Council finds that the Referendum was duly and properly held and conducted in accordance with all applicable constitution and statutory requirements.

Section 3. The said Referendum resulted favorably on the question of the imposition or reimposition of a one percent sales and use tax in York County for not more than seven years

to raise the sum of \$173,000,000, the proceeds of which are to be expended for road improvements in York County more particularly described in the Referendum question, in the priority stated therein, by a vote of 10,717 in favor of the question and 3,889 in opposition to the question. Council accordingly hereby declares that the Referendum resulted favorably on the question of the imposition or reimposition of a one percent sales and use tax in York County for not more than seven years to raise the amount of \$173,000,000 for the purposes stated in the Referendum question in order to permit the existing one percent sales tax in York County to be reinstated and continue without interruption.

Section 4. A copy of this Resolution, duly certified by the Clerk of Council shall forthwith be filed in the office of the Clerk of Court for York County, South Carolina, and a copy of this Resolution, duly certified by the Clerk of Council shall forthwith be transmitted with a copy of York County Ordinance No. 2403, adopted by the York County Council on August 12, 2003, duly certified by the Clerk of Council to the South Carolina Department of Revenue, as provided by S.C. Code Ann. §§ 4-10-310 et seq., as amended.


ADOPTED THIS 17 DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2003.

YORK COUNTY COUNCIL

BY:


C. Michael Short, Chairman

Attest:


Alfred W. Greene, County Manager

2003 Sales Tax Referendum Results

Precinct	Yes	No	Total
Allison Creek	178	76	254
Anderson Rd	79	32	111
Bethany	90	64	154
Bethel # 1	140	47	187
Bethel # 2	462	76	538
Bethel School	155	74	229
Bowling Green	63	28	91
Bullock Creek	34	45	79
Cannon Mill	106	84	190
Catawba	97	80	177
Clover # 1	234	137	371
Clover # 2	151	142	293
Cotton Belt	158	133	291
Delphia	96	87	183
Ebenezer	370	64	434
Ebinport	375	56	431
Edgewood	87	19	106
Fewell Park	241	26	267
Filbert	75	82	157
Fort Mill # 1	531	124	655
Fort Mill # 3	414	97	511
Fort Mill # 4	276	90	366
Fort Mill # 5	163	55	218
Fort Mill # 6	333	69	402
Hickory Grove	68	79	147
Highland Park	94	26	120
India Hook	94	35	129
Lakeshore	79	36	115
Lesslie # 1	201	110	311
Lesslie # 2	203	121	324
McConnells	87	73	160
Museum	490	109	599
Mt Holly	166	58	224
New Home	110	53	163

Newport		380	95	475
Northside		95	37	132
Northwestern		388	71	459
Oakridge		182	103	285
Oakwood		226	57	283
Ogden		105	43	148
Rock Hill # 2		60	22	82
Rock Hill # 3		84	35	119
Rock Hill # 4		175	53	228
Rock Hill # 5		279	39	318
Rock Hill # 6		62	26	88
Rock Hill # 7		215	45	260
Rock Hill # 8		40	15	55
Rosewood		171	49	220
Sharon		79	120	199
Smyrna		50	48	98
Tega Cay # 1		459	100	559
Tega Cay # 2		278	58	336
Tirzah		171	69	240
University		165	36	201
York # 1		97	55	152
York # 2		209	119	328
Precinct Totals		10470	3782	14252
Absentee		215	98	313
Challenge		9	1	10
Failsafe		23	8	31
Totals Votes		10717	3889	14606


STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
) CERTIFICATE
COUNTY OF YORK)

I, the undersigned, Rebecca C. Sellers, Clerk of the York County Council, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing Resolution, to which this Certificate is annexed, constitutes a true, correct and verbatim copy of a Resolution adopted by the York County Council at a regularly scheduled meeting thereof held on November 17, 2003, the original of which is duly entered in the permanent records of minutes of the meetings of the York County Council in my custody as Clerk to the York County Council.

I further certify that the said meeting was duly and properly called, and a majority of the members of the York County Council were present at said meeting and remained throughout the proceedings incident to the adoption of said Resolution.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of York County, South Carolina, this 24 day of November, 2003.


Rebecca C. Sellers, Clerk
York County Council